



**You have downloaded a document from  
RE-BUS  
repository of the University of Silesia in Katowice**

**Title:** On irreducible maps and extremally disconnected spaces

**Author:** Aleksander Błaszczyk

**Citation style:** Błaszczyk Aleksander. (1973). On irreducible maps and extremally disconnected spaces. "Prace Naukowe Uniwersytetu Śląskiego w Katowicach. Prace Matematyczne" (Nr 3 (1973), s. 7-15)



Uznanie autorstwa - Użycie niekomercyjne - Bez utworów zależnych Polska - Licencja ta zezwala na rozpowszechnianie, przedstawianie i wykonywanie utworu jedynie w celach niekomercyjnych oraz pod warunkiem zachowania go w oryginalnej postaci (nie tworzenia utworów zależnych).



UNIwersYTET ŚLĄSKI  
W KATOWICACH



Biblioteka  
Uniwersytetu Śląskiego



Ministerstwo Nauki  
i Szkolnictwa Wyższego

ALEKSANDER BŁASZCZYK

## ON IRREDUCIBLE MAPS AND EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES

The aim of this paper is to discuss various kinds of irreducible maps, to give a characterization of extremally disconnected spaces with the aid of these maps, and to prove some new facts on the Iliadis extremally disconnected resolution. The paper ends with a general definition of an extremally disconnected resolution of a Hausdorff space including the Iliadis resolution [2] modified by Mioduszewski and Rudolf [4].

§ 1. COMPLETELY IRREDUCIBLE MAPS. Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a given topological space. An open subset  $A$  of  $X$  is said to be *regularly open* if  $A = \text{Int}_\tau \text{Cl}_\tau A$ . A closed set is said to be *regularly closed* if its complement is a regularly open set. It is easy to see that a closed set is regularly closed if it is the closure of an open set.

A map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is said to be *irreducible* ([4] page 26) if for each regularly closed subset  $A$  of  $X$

$$(1) \quad A \neq X \text{ implies } \text{Cl}_f(A) \neq Y.$$

A map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  will be said to be *completely irreducible* if for each closed (not necessarily regularly closed) subset  $A$  of  $X$

$$(2) \quad A \neq X \text{ implies } \text{Cl}_f(A) \neq Y.$$

Clearly, every completely irreducible map is irreducible. The converse implication is not true.

**Example.** Let  $\tau$  be the natural topology on  $I = (0,1)$ . Let  $\tau'$  be the topology on  $(0,1)$  generated by the family  $\tau \cup \{W\}$ , where  $W$  is the set of all rational numbers of  $I$ . The contraction from  $\tau'$  to  $\tau$  i.e. the map  $(I, \tau') \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} (I, \tau)$  being the identity on the set  $I$  is not completely irreducible because the set of all irrational numbers is closed in  $\tau'$  and dense in  $\tau$ . On the other hand, each regularly closed set in  $\tau'$  is regularly closed in  $\tau$ . Hence there does not exist a regularly closed set in  $\tau'$  which is different

from  $I$  and which is dense in  $\tau$ . Therefore the contraction from  $\tau'$  to  $\tau$  is irreducible.

Clearly, a map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is completely irreducible iff there exists a base  $\beta$  of open sets such that for each non-empty set  $U \in \beta$  we have  $\text{Clf}(X \setminus U) \neq Y$ .

A Hausdorff space  $X$  is said to be *semi-regular* if the family of all regularly open sets forms a base in  $X$ . Every regular space is semi-regular. Hence if a space  $X$  is semi-regular, then every irreducible map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is completely irreducible.

A map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is said to be *r.o.-minimal* [4] if the topology in  $X$  is generated by the family  $\{f^{-1}(U) \cap V : U \text{ is open in } Y \text{ and } V \text{ is regularly open in } X\}$ .

**THEOREM 1.** *If a map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is irreducible and r.o.-minimal, then  $f$  is completely irreducible.*

**Note:** Although the theorem follows from some theorems from [4], we give here a direct proof.

**Proof.** If  $U$  is open in  $Y$  and  $V$  is regularly open in  $X$ , then the set  $W = f^{-1}(U) \cap V$  is a base open set in  $X$ . Suppose that  $\text{Clf}(X \setminus W) = Y$ . It suffices to show that  $W = \emptyset$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \text{Clf}(X \setminus (f^{-1}(U) \cap V)) = \text{Clf}[(X \setminus f^{-1}(U)) \cup (X \setminus V)] = \\ &= \text{Clf}[f^{-1}(Y \setminus U)] \cup \text{Clf}(X \setminus V) = (Y \setminus U) \cup \text{Clf}(X \setminus V). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$U \cap (Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)) = \emptyset.$$

Hence

$$(3) \quad f^{-1}(U) \cap \text{Clf}^{-1}(X \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)) = \emptyset.$$

Consider the set  $\text{Clf}^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)) \cup (X \setminus V)$ . It is a regularly closed set and we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Clf}[\text{Clf}^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)) \cup (X \setminus V)] &= \text{Clf}[\text{Clf}^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V))] \cup \\ &\cup \text{Clf}(X \setminus V) \supset [Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)] \cup \text{Clf}(X \setminus V) = Y. \end{aligned}$$

Since the map  $f$  is irreducible, we get

$$\text{Clf}^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)) \cup (X \setminus V) = X.$$

Hence

$$V \subset \text{Clf}^{-1}(Y \setminus \text{Clf}(X \setminus V)),$$

and from (3) we get

$$W = f^{-1}(U) \cap V = \emptyset.$$

**Example.** There exist completely irreducible maps which are not r.o.-minimal. Let us consider the space  $X_0 = \left( \{0\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \right) \times \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ . Let  $X = X_0 \cup \{a\}$  where  $a \notin X_0$ . Topology in  $X_0$  is the usual product topology and basic neighbourhoods of the point  $a$  are the sets  $U_k = \{a\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=k}^{\infty} \times \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \right\}_{n=k}^{\infty}$ . This topology will be denoted by  $\tau$ . The space  $(X, \tau)$  is a Hausdorff but not semi-regular space. Let  $\tau'$  be the topology on  $X$  generated by the family of all regularly open subsets of  $X$ . It is easy to see that the contraction  $i_X : (X, \tau) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} (X, \tau')$  is completely irreducible but not r.o.-minimal.

A space is said to be *extremally disconnected* (Stone [5]) if the closure of each of its open subsets is open. A Hausdorff space  $X$  is extremally disconnected iff for every two disjoint sets  $U, V$  which are open in  $X$  we have the equality  $\text{Cl}U \cap \text{Cl}V = \emptyset$ .

J. Flachsmeyer studied in [1] irreducible maps in the following (common) sense :

- (4) a map  $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is said to be *irreducible* if, for each closed subset  $A$  of  $X$ ,

$$A \neq X \text{ implies } f(A) \neq Y.$$

Recall that a map is said to be *perfect* if it is closed and if counter-images of points are compact. Hence if an irreducible map (in the common sense) is perfect, then it is completely irreducible (the converse is, of course, not true).

In [1] it was proved that every perfect irreducible (in the common sense) map onto an extremally disconnected Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism. We shall show more, namely.

**THEOREM 2.** *If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $Y$  is an extremally disconnected Hausdorff space, then every completely irreducible map  $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is one-to-one (and hence it is a contraction).*

**Proof.** Suppose, on the contrary, that there exist two various points  $x$  and  $x'$  in  $X$  such that  $f(x) = y = f(x')$ . Since  $X$  is Hausdorff, there exist two open sets  $U$  and  $U'$  such that  $x \in U$ ,  $x' \in U'$  and  $U \cap U' = \emptyset$ .

Consider the open set  $V = Y \setminus \text{Cl}f(X \setminus U)$ . We shall show that  $y \in \text{Cl}V$ . In fact, the set  $\text{Cl}f^{-1}(V) \cup (X \setminus U)$  is closed in  $X$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cl}[f^{-1}(V) \cup (X \setminus U)] &= \text{Cl}[f^{-1}(V)] \cup \text{Cl}(X \setminus U) = \\ &= \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(V)) \cup (Y \setminus V) \supset V \cup (Y \setminus V) = Y. \end{aligned}$$

From the assumption that  $f$  is completely irreducible it follows that

$$\text{Cl}f^{-1}(V) \cup (X \setminus U) = X.$$

Then

$$U \subset \text{Cl}f^{-1}(V).$$

Hence

$$y \in f(U) \subset f(\text{Cl}f^{-1}(V)) \subset \text{Cl}f(f^{-1}(V)) = \text{Cl}V.$$

In the same way  $y \in \text{Cl}V'$ , where  $V' = Y \setminus \text{Cl}(X \setminus U')$  by definition. On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} V \cap V' &= [Y \setminus \text{Cl}(X \setminus U)] \cap [Y \setminus \text{Cl}(X \setminus U')] = Y \setminus [\text{Cl}(X \setminus U) \cup \\ &\quad \text{Cl}(X \setminus U')] = Y \setminus \text{Cl}[X \setminus (U \cap U')] = Y \setminus \text{Cl}(X) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Y$  is extremally disconnected, we have

$$\text{Cl}V \cap \text{Cl}V' = \emptyset.$$

But  $y \in \text{Cl}V \cap \text{Cl}V'$ , contradiction.

§ 2. CHARACTERIZATION OF EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES. Iliadis in [2] constructed for every Hausdorff space so called extremally disconnected resolution (absolute). Let us shortly recall this construction.

Let  $X$  be a Hausdorff space. Denote by  $\omega X$  the set of all convergent open ultrafilters (i.e., ultrafilters containing all neighbourhoods of some point of  $X$ ). The family of sets  $O_U = \{\xi \in \omega X : U \in \xi\}$ , where  $U$  is open in  $X$ , is a base of topology in  $\omega X$ . There is a natural map  $\omega^X : \omega X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} X$  defined by the formula

$$(5) \quad \omega^X(\xi) = \bigcap \{U : U \in \xi\}.$$

The space  $\omega X$  proves to be an extremally disconnected Hausdorff space, and the map  $\omega^X$  irreducible but only  $\Theta$ -continuous (in the sense of Fomin; cf [3]). In paper [4] the Iliadis construction was modified. The modified Iliadis resolution  $\alpha X$  is the set  $\omega X$  with the topology generated by the sets  $O_U \cap (\omega^X)^{-1}(U)$ .  $\alpha^X$ , is the map equal to  $\omega^X$  in set-theoretical sense, carrying the space  $\alpha X$  onto  $X$ . It was proved there that  $\alpha X$  is an extremally disconnected Hausdorff space and the map  $\alpha^X$  is continuous and irreducible.

LEMMA 1. *The map  $\alpha^X$  is an r.o.-minimal irreducible map (hence a completely irreducible map).*

Proof. From the definition of  $O_U$  it follows immediately that for each open  $U \subset X$  the set  $O_U$  is closed-open in  $\alpha X$  (see [3] page 50). Hence the map  $\alpha^X$  is r.o.-minimal. Then in virtue of Theorem 1  $\alpha^X$  is a completely irreducible map.

A map  $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is said to be *skeletal* ([4], page 13) if for each open subset  $V$  of  $X$  we have

$$(6) \quad \text{Int}f^{-1}(\text{Cl}V) \subset \text{Cl}f^{-1}(V).$$

In [4] it was proved that every irreducible map is skeletal and that for any skeletal map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  there exists a unique map  $af: aX \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} aY$  such that the diagram

$$(7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} aX & \xrightarrow{af} & aY \\ a^X \downarrow & & \downarrow a^Y \\ X & \xrightarrow{f} & Y \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e.  $f \circ a^X = a^Y \circ af$ .

Topologies on a given set having the same family of regularly open subsets are said to be *r.o.-equivalent*.

A contraction from  $\tau$  to  $\tau'$  is said to be *conservative* if

$$(8) \quad \text{for each } U' \text{ open in } \tau' \text{ we have } Cl_{\tau'} U' = Cl_{\tau} U'.$$

It was proved in [4] that topologies  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are r.o.-equivalent iff

$$(9) \quad \text{for each } U \text{ open in } \tau \text{ we have } Cl_{\tau'} U = Cl_{\tau} U.$$

LEMMA 2. For any continuous maps  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  and  $g: Y \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Z$ ,  $h = g \circ f$  is completely irreducible iff  $f$  and  $g$  are completely irreducible.

Proof. 1. Let us assume that  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  and  $g: Y \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Z$  are completely irreducible maps. Let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and  $Clh(F) = Z$ . We have  $Cl(g(f(F))) = Z$ , hence  $Clg(Cl f(F)) = Z$ . By hypothesis the map  $g$  is completely irreducible, whence  $Cl f(F) = Y$  and in virtue of the complete irreducibility of the map  $f$  we get  $F = X$ . Thus the map  $h = g \circ f$  is completely irreducible.

2. Let  $h$  be a completely irreducible map. We shall show that  $f$  and  $g$  are completely irreducible.

In fact, let  $F$  be a closed subset of  $X$  and  $Cl f(F) = Y$ . We have

$$Z = g(Y) = g(Cl f(F)) \subset Cl(g(f(F))) = Clh(F).$$

The map  $h$  is completely irreducible,  $F = X$ . Thus  $f$  is completely irreducible.

Let  $H$  be a closed subset of  $Y$  and  $Clg(H) = Z$ . Put  $F = f^{-1}(H)$ , a closed subset of  $X$ . We have  $f(F) = H$ . Hence

$$Clg(f(F)) = Clg(H) = Z.$$

Hence  $F = X$  which implies that  $H = Y$ . Thus  $g$  is completely irreducible.

LEMMA 3. If  $X$  is a Hausdorff space and  $Y$  is an extremally disconnected space, then every completely irreducible map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is a conservative contraction.

**Proof.** In virtue of Theorem 2, the map  $f$  is a contraction. The map  $f$  being irreducible is a skeletal map. Then for each  $V$  open in  $Y$  we have  $\text{Int}_f^{-1}(\text{Cl}_f V) \subset \text{Cl}_f^{-1}(V)$ . Since the space  $Y$  is extremally disconnected,  $\text{Cl}_f V$  is open in  $Y$ . Thus  $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}_f V) \subset \text{Cl}_f^{-1}(V)$ . The converse inclusion holds for every continuous map. Thus we have  $f^{-1}(\text{Cl}_f V) = \text{Cl}_f^{-1}(V)$ , which means that  $f$  is conservative.

**LEMMA 4.** *If a contraction  $i_X : (X, \tau) \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} (X, \tau')$  is irreducible and the spaces  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(X, \tau')$  are extremally disconnected, then the topologies  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  are r.o.-equivalent.*

**Proof.** Since the contraction from  $\tau$  to  $\tau'$  is irreducible, it is a skeletal map. Thus for each  $U' \in \tau'$  we have

$$\text{Int}_{\tau'} \text{Cl}_{\tau'} U' \subset \text{Cl}_{\tau'} U'.$$

Since  $(X, \tau')$  is an extremally disconnected space,  $\text{Cl}_{\tau'} U'$  is open in  $(X, \tau')$  and we have

$$\text{Cl}_{\tau'} U' \subset \text{Cl}_{\tau} U'.$$

In virtue of the inclusion  $\tau' \subset \tau$  we have

$$\text{Cl}_{\tau'} U' \supset \text{Cl}_{\tau} U'.$$

Hence the contraction from  $\tau$  to  $\tau'$  is conservative. From (8) it follows that each regularly closed set in  $\tau'$  is regularly closed in  $\tau$ .

Let  $U \in \tau$  be a non-empty open subset of  $X$ . Since  $(X, \tau)$  is extremally disconnected,  $U$  is closed-open. In virtue of the irreducibility the set  $X \setminus U$  is not dense in  $(X, \tau')$  and we have

$$\text{Int}_{\tau'} U \neq \emptyset.$$

From (8) it follows that

$$U = \text{Cl}_{\tau} \text{Int}_{\tau'} U \supset \text{Cl}_{\tau'} \text{Int}_{\tau'} U = \text{Cl}_{\tau'} \text{Int}_{\tau'} U.$$

Suppose that the difference  $U \setminus \text{Cl}_{\tau'} \text{Int}_{\tau'} U = A$  is non-empty. Since  $(X, \tau')$  is extremally disconnected,  $\text{Cl}_{\tau'} \text{Int}_{\tau'} U$  is closed-open in  $\tau'$ . Thus  $A$  is a closed-open subset of  $(X, \tau)$ . In virtue of the irreducibility we have

$$\text{Int}_{\tau'} A = \emptyset.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Int}_{\tau'} A &= \text{Int}_{\tau'} U \cap \text{Int}_{\tau'} (X \setminus \text{Cl}_{\tau'} \text{Int}_{\tau'} U) \subset \\ &\text{Int}_{\tau'} U \cap (X \setminus \text{Int}_{\tau'} U) = \emptyset. \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction.

Thus each regularly open set in  $\tau$  is regularly open in  $\tau'$ .

**THEOREM 3.** *If a map  $f : X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is completely irreducible, then the topologies in  $\alpha X$  and  $\alpha f(\alpha X)$  are r.o.-equivalent.*

**Proof.** The map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is skeletal, thus the diagram (7) may be completed. The map  $\alpha^X$  is completely irreducible. In virtue of Lemma 2, the map  $f\alpha^X$  is completely irreducible, hence  $\alpha^X\alpha f$  is completely irreducible. Then from Lemma 2 it follows that  $\alpha f$  is completely irreducible. In virtue of Lemma 4 the topologies in  $\alpha X$  and  $\alpha f(\alpha X)$  are r.o.-equivalent.

The following theorem gives a certain description of the extremally disconnected spaces.

**THEOREM 4.** *A Hausdorff space  $Y$  is extremally disconnected iff each completely irreducible map onto  $Y$  is a conservative contraction.*

**Proof.** One of the implications coincides with Lemma 3. Suppose that  $Y$  is a Hausdorff space and that each completely irreducible map onto  $Y$  is a conservative contraction. The map  $\alpha^X: \alpha Y \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} \alpha Y$  is completely irreducible, hence it is a conservative contraction. Let  $U$  and  $V$  be open subsets of  $Y$  such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Then we have  $\text{Cl}_{\alpha Y} U \cap \text{Cl}_{\alpha Y} V = \emptyset$ . But we have  $\text{Cl}_{\alpha Y} U = \text{Cl}_{\alpha Y} U$  and  $\text{Cl}_{\alpha Y} V = \text{Cl}_{\alpha Y} V$ . Thus  $\text{Cl}_Y U \cap \text{Cl}_Y V = \emptyset$  and this means that  $Y$  is extremally disconnected.

**§ 3. REGULAR EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED SPACES. THE SET OF EXTREMALLY DISCONNECTED RESOLUTIONS OF A GIVEN HAUSDORFF SPACE.** Let us note that for semi-regular (in particular, for regular) spaces each irreducible map is completely irreducible and each conservative contraction is a homeomorphism, since the family of all regularly open subsets forms a base in such a space.

**LEMMA 5.** *If a space  $X$  is regular, then the resolution  $\omega X$  coincides with  $\alpha X$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\xi \in (\alpha^X)^{-1}(V)$ , where  $V$  is open in  $X$ . Let  $y = \alpha^X(\xi) \in V$ . In virtue of the regularity of the space  $X$ , there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $y \in U \subset \text{Cl} U \subset V$ . Since  $y \in U$  we have  $U \in \xi$ , and hence  $\xi \in O_U$ . It is easy to see that  $\alpha^X(O_U) = \text{Cl} U$ . Then we have  $\alpha^X(O_U) \subset V$ ; hence  $\xi \in O_U \subset (\alpha^X)^{-1}(V)$ . This means that the sets  $O_U$  form a base in  $\alpha X$ . Consequently the topologies in  $\alpha X$  and in  $\omega X$  are the same.

**THEOREM 5.** *If a space  $X$  is regular, then the (modified) Iliadis resolution  $\alpha X$  is also regular.*

**Proof.** Let  $X$  be a regular space. In virtue of Lemma 5 the resolution  $\alpha X$  coincides with  $\omega X$ . In [3] (Theorem 10, page 64) it was proved that  $\omega X$  is regular.

In virtue of Theorem 3 and Theorem 5 we have

**COROLLARY.** *If a map  $f: X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} Y$  is irreducible and  $X$  is a regular space, then the map  $\alpha f: \alpha X \rightarrow \alpha Y$  is an embedding.*

In [3] similar fact was proved under the additional hypothesis that  $f$  is perfect.



The Iliadis extremally disconnected resolution is given by an individual construction. Generally, by an *extremally disconnected resolution* of a given Hausdorff space  $X$  we mean any r.o.-minimal irreducible map  $\mu^X : \mu X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} X$  such that  $\mu X$  is an extremally disconnected Hausdorff space.

From Lemma 1 it follows that the Iliadis resolution  $\alpha X$  is extremally disconnected in the sense of our definition.

**THEOREM 6.** *If a space  $X$  is extremally disconnected, then for every extremally disconnected resolution  $\mu X$  the map  $\mu^X$  is a homeomorphism.*

**Proof.** Let  $\mu^X : \mu X \xrightarrow{\text{onto}} X$  be an extremally disconnected resolution of a space  $X$ . From Theorem 1 it follows that the map  $\mu^X$  is completely irreducible, hence in virtue of Theorem 2  $\mu^X$  is a contraction. Since the spaces  $X$  and  $\mu X$  are extremally disconnected, the topologies in  $X$  and in  $\mu X$  are r.o.-equivalent in virtue of Lemma 5. The map  $\mu^X$  is r.o.-minimal, thus  $\mu^X$  must be a homeomorphism.

**THEOREM 7.** *For every extremally disconnected resolution  $\mu X$  there exists a unique map  $\varphi : \mu X \rightarrow \alpha X$  which is a conservative contraction onto  $\varphi(\mu X)$  and fills up the diagram*

$$(10) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} & \alpha X & \\ & \uparrow & \\ \alpha^X & & \varphi \\ & \downarrow & \\ & X & \\ & \leftarrow \mu^X & \end{array}$$

*In addition the topologies in  $\varphi(\mu X)$  and  $\mu X$  are r.o.-equivalent.*

**Proof.** From [4] (3.4. page 33) it follows that there exists a unique map  $\varphi : \mu X \rightarrow \alpha X$  filling up diagram (10). In virtue of Lemma 2,  $\varphi$  is a completely irreducible map. Since  $\alpha X$  is extremally disconnected space,  $\varphi$  is a conservative contraction (Theorem 4). In virtue of Lemma 4, topologies in  $\mu X$  and  $\varphi(\mu X)$  are r.o.-equivalent.

This theorem easily implies that if an extremally disconnected resolution  $\mu X$  is a compact space, then  $\mu X$  coincides with  $\alpha X$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] J. Flachsmeyer, *Topologische Projektivräume*, Math. Nachr. 26 (1963) pp. 57—66.
- [2] С. Илиадис, Абсолюты хаусдорфовых пространств, ДАН СССР 149 (1963) стр. 22—25.
- [3] С. Илиадис и С. Фомин, Метод центрированных систем в теории топологических пространств, УМН 21 (1966) стр. 47—76..

- [4] J. Mioduszewski and L. Rudolf, *H-closed and extremally disconnected Hausdorff spaces*, *Dissertationes Math.* LXVI (1969).  
 [5] M. H. Stane, *Algebraic characterizations of special Boolean rings*, *Fund. Math.* 29 (1937) pp. 222-303.

ALEKSANDER BŁASZCZYK

O ODWZOROWANIACH NIEPRZYWIEDLNYCH I PRZESTRZENIACH  
 EKSTREMALNIE NIESPÓJNYCH

Streszczenie

Przedmiotem pracy są przestrzenie ekstremalnie niespójne i pewne rodzaje odwzorowań nieprzywiedlnych, m. in. odwzorowania nieprzywiedlne w sensie Mioduszewskiego i Rudolfa. Praca zawiera zewnętrzną charakteryzację przestrzeni ekstremalnie niespójnych przy pomocy tych odwzorowań. Podana jest ogólna definicja nakrycia ekstremalnie niespójnego dowolnej przestrzeni Hausdorffa  $X$ . Jednym z takich nakryć jest  $\alpha X \xrightarrow{na} X$  zdefiniowane przez Iliadisa w [2] i zmodyfikowane przez Mioduszewskiego i Rudolfa w [4], gdzie udowodniono, że (zmodyfikowane) nakrycie Iliadisa jest funktorem, który każdemu odwzorowaniu szkieletowemu  $f: X \xrightarrow{na} Y$  przyporządkowuje odwzorowanie  $af: \alpha X \xrightarrow{na} \alpha Y$ . Iliadis i Fomin w [3] udowodnili, że jeśli  $f$  jest odwzorowaniem nieprzywiedlnym i doskonałym, to  $af$  jest homeomorfizmem. W tej pracy pokazuję, że jeśli  $f: X \xrightarrow{na} Y$  jest odwzorowaniem nieprzywiedlnym, to topologie w  $\alpha X$  i  $af(\alpha X)$  są r.o.-równoważne oraz że  $af$  jest zanurzeniem jeśli tylko  $X$  jest przestrzenią regularną.

Oddano do Redakcji 26. 3. 1970 r.